## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA FREDERIC C. PRADO, 3 **Plaintiff** 5

CITY OF LAS VEGAS CODE

ENFORCEMENT,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cy-02293-APG-NJK

## **Order Accepting Report and** Recommendation

[ECF No. 4]

On January 14, 2019, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss the complaint without prejudice because the plaintiff did not pay the filing fee or move for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and did not file an amended complaint as directed. ECF No. 4. Plaintiff Frederic 12 Prado did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the 13 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo 14 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is 15 made"); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the 16 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if 17 objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF 19 **No. 4**) is accepted. Plaintiff Frederic Prado's complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

DATED this 6th day of February, 2019.

22

18

20

21

6

7

8

9

23

ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE